## APPEALS COURT FAVORS CIA IN MARCHETTI RULING

designated representative. The govern- the President. ment first took action against Marchetti and operations.

The CIA had obtained from the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia a permanent injunction stipulating agency consent prior to publica-A panel of judges for the U. S. Appeals tion (LJ, July p. 2326). At issue here is a Court, headed up by Supreme Court re-nonfiction book on the agency Marchetti ject Clement F. Haynsworth, has upheld plans to write for publisher Alfred A. a lower court ruling restraining former Knopf, Inc. The author, who was em-CIA agent Victor Marchetti from pub- ployed by the CIA for 14 years, has allishing books or articles about that ready had published a novel and two aragency without prior authorization from ticles, all of them critical of the agency the Director of Central Intelligence or a and of its subservience to the wishes of

The CIA contends that Marchetti is when it moved to block an article he had bound by a secrecy agreement he signed submitted to Esquire magazine last when first employed by the agency and March, Its contention was that the article that this agreement is not in violation of contained classified information con- his First Amendment rights. The decerning intelligence sources, methods, fense, which has the backing of the Association of American Publishers, has argued that the prior restraint violated Marchetti's rights and that it also runs counter to the public's right to know and be informed as established by the First Amendment. Moreover, it contends that the decision is in direct conflict with the recent Supreme Court ruling permitting publication of the Pentagon Papers. In a similar action against a publisher, the CIA put the heat on Harper & Row: first by demanding to see galleys of Alfred McCoy's The Politics of Heroin in Southeast Asia and then by issuing a critique of it, charging that McCoy had falsely accused the agency of drug trafficking. In this case, however, the CIA backed off from any court action, and Harper proceeded with publication (LJ, September 15, p. 2792-94).

The three judges on the Court of Appeals panel unanimously ruled in favor of the government agency in the Marchetti case. The court added, however, that the author could seek judicial review of any CIA disapproval of a manuscript for publication. It further stipulated that the CIA must act promptly to approve or, disapprove any material submitted to it. Undue delay, said the panel, would impair the reasonableness of the restraint, and that reasonableness is to be maintained if the restraint is to be enforced. Marchetti's lawyer, Melvin L. Wulf of the American Civil Liberties Union, has announced that he will take the case to the Supreme Court.

P-McCoy, Alfred C(A3,01. 30c.4.01.2 Politics of Heroinin SE Asia